



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,501		12/23/1999	FRANCIS BIOLLEY 6		7486
20457	7590	06/12/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				EXAMINER	
				PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K	
ARLINGTO	RLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3671	
				DATE MAILED: 06/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	pplicant(s)					
	09/471,501	BOILLEY, FRANCIS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alexandra K Pechhold	3671					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover shaet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	May 2002 .						
	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>9 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	, ,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Experience 25 U.S.C. \$5.440 and 420	armier.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a maiorita condon 25 II C.O. C 440/a	a) (d) as (f)					
<ul><li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	i priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(8	a)-(u) OI (I).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 16	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (US 4,333,828).

Regarding claim 1, Taylor discloses a pipe system for fluid transfer between a surface vessel (12) and a point located below as shown in Fig. 1. A continuously flexible riser is disclosed as flexible riser (26) connected to the point located below the water surface as seen in Fig. 1, and a rigid riser is seen as rigid steel riser (16) in Fig. 1, connected to flexible riser (26) at one end and to the surface vessel (12) at the second end. Fig. 1 illustrates the flexible riser (26) having a length at least equal to half the water depth.

Regarding claim 7, Taylor discloses that the surface vessel (12) pendulously supports a hydraulic transport riser (16) (Col 5, lines 27-30). Therefore the support action of the vessel serves as a holding means, since the riser (16) is held suspended from the vessel (12).

Regarding claim 10, Taylor discloses one or more risers, seen as flexible riser (26) and rigid steel riser (16) in Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/471,501

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding claim 12, the effect of gravity on the weight of the risers (16, 26) serves to tension the risers, as does the weight of the collector (!8) and the dump valve (32) and hydraulic actuator (30) seen in Fig. 1.

Regarding claims 13-16, the applicant claims a process used to achieve the product of claim 1. The method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, the limitations in claims 13-16 have not been given patentable weight.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (US 4,333,828) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Willis (EPO 0467635 A2). Taylor discloses the limitations of the claimed invention except for heat insulation means placed on at least the rigid part and/or flexible part. Willis teaches thermally insulating compositions and a method of insulating pipeline bundles and pipeline riser caissons. Willis states that it is necessary to insulate pipelines in order to prevent the temperature of the fluid traveling through the pipeline from significantly dropping, and that it is known to apply an inner or outer insulating layer to pipelines (page 2, lines 4-23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/471,501

Art Unit: 3671

Page 4

invention was made to modify the rigid or flexible part of Taylor to have heat insulation means as taught by Willis, since Willis states on page 2, lines 4-23 that it is necessary to insulate pipelines in order to prevent the temperature of the fluid traveling through the pipeline from significantly dropping, and that it is known to apply an inner or outer insulating layer to pipelines.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (703) 305-0870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703)308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (793) 399 1113.

/Tfjd/Ma/s B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

AKP 6/7/02